

REMARKS

Claims 1 and 12 have been amended. Claims 1-22 remain pending in the application. Reconsideration is respectfully requested in light of the following remarks.

Telephone Conference:

During a telephone call on November 6, 2009 between Examiner Wu and Applicant's undersigned attorney, Examiner Wu agreed that the above amendments would overcome the current rejection. As discussed during the telephone call, the cited art does not teach that the patching mechanism and the first set of one or more new code components are deployed together on the first computer as part of the same patch package. The patch package in Chamberlain does not include both the patching mechanism and the new code components. The installer in Chamberlain is not deployed on the computer with the new code components as part of the same patch package. To the contrary, the installer is separate from the patch package and already exists on the computer when the patch package is received. None of the cited references teach that both the patching mechanism and the set of new code components are deployed as part of the same patch package.

CONCLUSION

Applicants submit the application is in condition for allowance, and an early notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

If any fees are due, the Commissioner is authorized to charge said fees to Meyertons, Hood, Kivlin, Kowert, & Goetzel, P.C. Deposit Account No. 501505/5681-54200/RCK.

Respectfully submitted,

/Robert C. Kowert/
Robert C. Kowert, Reg. #39,255
Attorney for Applicants

Meyertons, Hood, Kivlin, Kowert, & Goetzel, P.C.
P.O. Box 398
Austin, TX 78767-0398
Phone: (512) 853-8850

Date: November 6, 2009